Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/749,808	WOBBE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	TAE KIM	2887
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>TAE KIM</u> .	(3)	
(2) <i>Garth Vivier</i> (57,313).	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>19 November 2010</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 16, & 20		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Discussed claim amendment to overcome the 112 2 nd issues in order to do an examiner's amendment. However, upon further review by the examiner 112 1 st rejection is made. Therefore, an examiner's amendment can not be made at this time,		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
(A _j	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)